

On Existence of Global Solutions for Some Mildly Degenerate Nonlinear Kirchhoff Strings with Linear Dissipation

By

Kosuke ONO

Department of Mathematical Sciences

The University of Tokushima

Tokushima 770-8502, JAPAN

e-mail : ono@ias.tokushima-u.ac.jp

(Received September 28, 2012)

Abstract

We study the existence of global solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for the degenerate nonlinear hyperbolic equation of Kirchhoff type with linear dissipation :

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\int_a^b \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right)^\gamma \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + |u|^p u = 0.$$

In the case of $0 < \gamma < 1$, under the conditions that $p > 4\gamma$ and the size of initial data is suitably small, we derive the global existence theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L80, 35B45

Introduction

In this paper we consider the initial-boundary value problem for the degenerate hyperbolic equation of Kirchhoff type with linear dissipation :

$$u_{tt} - \|u_x(t)\|^{2\gamma} u_{xx} + u_t + |u|^p u = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b) \times (0, \infty) \quad (0.1)$$

with the initial data and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & u_t(x, 0) &= u_1(x), & a < x < b, \\ u(a, t) &= u(b, t) = 0, & 0 < t < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $u = u(x, t)$ is an unknown real function and $a < b$ and

$$0 < \gamma < 1$$

and $p > 0$ and the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ means the usual norm of $L^2 = L^2(a, b)$.

Equation (0.1) describes small amplitude vibrations of an elastic stretched string and was introduced by Kirchhoff [4].

When $\gamma \geq 1$, in previous paper [9], we have proved the existence of global solutions under the condition that the size of the initial data is small (cf. [5], [8], [10], [12]).

Our interest in this paper is the problem in the case of $0 < \gamma < 1$ (cf. [3], [11] for equations without the nonlinear term $|u|^p u$). In order to get an a-priori estimate for H^2 -norm of the solution $u(t)$, we derive the estimate for $\|u_{xx}(t)\|^2/\|u_x(t)\|^2$, and we give the decay estimate $\|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}$. Our main result is Theorem 3.3 in section 3.

The notations we use in this paper are standard. The symbol (\cdot, \cdot) means the inner product in L^2 or sometimes duality between the space X and its dual X' , and the norm of L^p is often written as $\|\cdot\|_p$ ($\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ for $p = 2$) simplicity. We put $(a)^+ = \max(0, a)$ where $1/(a)^+ = \infty$ if $(a)^+ = 0$. The constant c_* is the Sobolev-Poincaré constant, that is, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|v\|_p \leq c_* \|v_x\|. \quad (0.2)$$

Positive constants will be denoted by C and will change line to line.

1 Preliminaries

By applying the Banach contraction mapping theorem, we get the following local existence theorem (see [1], [2], [9] and the references cited therein).

Proposition 1.1 *Suppose that the initial data $\{u_0, u_1\}$ belong to $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ and $u_0 \neq 0$. Then, the problem (0.1) admits a unique local solution $u(t)$ in the class $C([0, T]; H^2 \cap H_0^1) \cap C^1([0, T]; H_0^1) \cap C^2([0, T]; L^2)$ for some $T \equiv T(\|u_0\|_{H^2}, \|u_1\|_{H^1}) > 0$. Moreover, if $\|u_x(t)\| > 0$ for $0 \leq t < T$, at least one of the following statements is valid*

- (i) $T = \infty$;
- (ii) $\|u(t)\|_{H^2} + \|u_t(t)\|_{H^1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$;
- (iii) $\|u_x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$.

We define the energy and the potential associated with (0.1) by

$$E(u, u_t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + J(u) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$J(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|u_x\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}, \quad (1.2)$$

respectively.

In what follows, we denote

$$M(t) \equiv \|u_x(t)\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad E(t) \equiv E(u(t), u_t(t)) \quad (1.3)$$

($E(0) \equiv E(u_0, u_1)$ for $t = 0$) and $J(t) \equiv J(u(t))$ for simplicity.

Moreover, we introduce the function $H(t)$ (i.e. modified second energy) by

$$H(t) \equiv \frac{\|u_{xt}(t)\|^2}{M(t)} + \frac{\|u_{xx}(t)\|^2}{M(t)^{1-\gamma}}. \quad (1.4)$$

2 Energy Estimate

The energy $E(t) \equiv E(u(t), u_t(t))$ given by (1.1) has the energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \|u_t(t)\|^2 = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

or

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds = E(0). \quad (2.2)$$

Indeed, multiplying (0.1) by u_t and integrating it over (a, b) or $(a, b) \times (0, t)$, we have (2.1) or (2.2). Moreover, applying energy method together with the Nakao inequality (see [6], [7]), we have the following the decay estimate of the energy $E(t)$ (see [6], [10], [11] for the proof).

Proposition 2.1 *Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (0.1).*

Then, the energy $E(t)$ satisfies

$$E(t) \leq \left(E(0)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} + d_1^{-1} (t-1)^+ \right)^{-\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where we define

$$d_1 \equiv \left(3E(0)^{\frac{\gamma}{2(\gamma+1)}} + 20c_* \right)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Integrating (2.1) over $[t, t+1]$, we have

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds = E(t) - E(t+1) \quad (\equiv D(t)^2). \quad (2.5)$$

Then, there exist two numbers $t_1 \in [t, t+1/4]$ and $t_2 \in [t+3/4, t+1]$ such that

$$\|u_t(t_j)\|^2 \leq 4D(t)^2 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

Multiplying (0.1) by u and integrating it over (a, b) , we have

$$M(t)^{\gamma+1} + \|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} = \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{d}{dt}(u_t, u) - (u_t, u),$$

and integrating the resulting equality over $[t_1, t_2]$, we observe from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(M(s)^{\gamma+1} + \|u(s)\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \right) ds \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|u_t(t_j)\| \|u(t_j)\| + \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t(s)\| \|u(s)\| ds \\ & \leq D(t)^2 + 5c_* D(t) \sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} M(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq D(t)^2 + 5c_* D(t) (2(\gamma+1)E(t))^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where we used the fact that $E(t)$ is a non-increasing function at the last inequality.

Integrating (2.1) over $[t, t_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= E(t_2) + \int_t^{t_2} \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(s) ds + \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma+1} M(s)^{\gamma+1} + \frac{2}{p+2} \|u(s)\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \right) ds \\ &\leq 3D(t)^2 + 5c_* D(t) (2(\gamma+1)E(t))^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.7) at the last inequality. Moreover, since $D(t)^2 \leq E(0)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} E(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}$, we observe

$$E(t) \leq \left(3E(0)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} + 20c_* \right) D(t) E(t)^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)}}$$

and the Young inequality yields

$$E(t) \leq \left(\left(3E(0)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} + 20c_* \right) D(t) \right)^{\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{2\gamma+1}}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} E(t)^{1+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} &= E(t)^{\frac{2\gamma+1}{\gamma+1}} \leq d_1^2 D(t)^2 \\ &\leq d_1^2 (E(t) - E(t+1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, applying the Nakao inequality to (2.8), we obtain the desired estimate (2.3). \square

Immediately, we obtain the following estimate as a corollary of Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 *If $q > \gamma$, under the assumption of Proposition 2.1, it holds that*

$$\int_0^t M(s)^q ds \leq B_q(0), \quad (2.9)$$

where we define

$$B_q(0) \equiv (2(\gamma + 1))^{\frac{q}{\gamma+1}} \left(E(0)^{\frac{q}{\gamma+1}} + \frac{\gamma d_1}{q - \gamma} E(0)^{\frac{q-\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \right). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. From (1.1) and (1.2), we see

$$M(t)^{\gamma+1} \leq 2(\gamma + 1)J(t) \leq 2(\gamma + 1)E(t),$$

and then, we observe from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t M(s)^q ds &\leq \int_0^t (2(\gamma + 1)E(s))^{\frac{q}{\gamma+1}} ds \\ &\leq (2(\gamma + 1))^{\frac{q}{\gamma+1}} \int_0^t \left(E(0)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} + d_1^{-1}(s-1)^+ \right)^{-\frac{q}{\gamma}} ds, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired estimate (2.9) if $q > \gamma$. \square

3 A-priori Estimate

Proposition 3.1 *Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (0.1). In addition to the assumption of Proposition 2.1, suppose that $p > 4\gamma$ and*

$$M(t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma + 2)^2 H(t) \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, it holds that

$$F(t) \equiv \frac{\|u_{xx}(t)\|^2}{M(t)} + Q(t) \leq d_2, \quad (3.2)$$

where we define

$$Q(t) \equiv \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+1}} \left(M(t)\|u_{xt}(t)\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}M'(t) \right)^2 \right) \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$d_2 \equiv F(0) + 2(p + 1)c_*^p B_{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma}(0). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Since we observe from (0.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} M(t)^\gamma \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_{xx}\|^2) &= -2\|u_{xt}\|^2 - 2(u_{xtt}, u_{xt}) + 2(|u|^p u, u_{xx}), \\ M(t)^\gamma \|u_{xx}\|^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}M'(t) + \left(\|u_{xt}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}M''(t) \right) + (|u|^p u, u_{xx}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\|u_{xx}\|^2}{M(t)} \right) &= \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} (M(t)^\gamma (\|u_{xx}\|^2)' M(t) - M(t)^\gamma \|u_{xx}\|^2 M'(t)) \\ &= -2Q(t) - R(t) + S(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $Q(t)$ is defined by (3.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} \left(2M(t)(u_{xtt}, u_{xt}) + \left(M'(t)\|u_{xt}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}M'(t)M''(t) \right) \right), \\ S(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} (2M(t)(f(u), u_{xxt}) - M'(t)(f(u), u_{xx})). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} Q(t) &= -(\gamma+2) \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} \left(M(t)\|u_{xt}\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}M'(t) \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} \left(2M(t)(u_{xtt}, u_{xt}) + M'(t)\|u_{xt}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}M'(t)M''(t) \right) \\ &= -(\gamma+2) \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} Q(t) + R(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Adding (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\|u_{xx}\|^2}{M(t)} + Q(t) \right) = -2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma+2}{2} \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} \right) Q(t) + S(t). \quad (3.7)$$

Here, we observe from (3.1) that

$$\frac{\gamma+2}{2} \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} \leq (\gamma+2)H(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |S(t)| &\leq \frac{4(p+1)}{M(t)^{\gamma+2}} \|u\|_\infty^p \|u_x\|^3 \|u_{xt}\| \\ &\leq 4(p+1)c_*^p M(t)^{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma} \left(\frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2(p+1)c_*^p M(t)^{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we used (1.4) and (3.1) at the last inequality. Thus, we have from (3.7)–(3.9) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\|u_{xx}\|^2}{M(t)} + Q(t) \right) \leq 2(p+1)c_*^p M(t)^{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma}, \quad (3.10)$$

and then, integrating (3.10) and using (2.9), we obtain the desired estimate (3.2) if $p/2 - \gamma > \gamma$ (i.e. $p > 4\gamma$). \square

Proposition 3.2 *Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (0.1). Suppose that the assumption of Proposition 3.1 is fulfilled. Then, the function $H(t)$ given by (1.4) satisfies*

$$H(t) \leq H(0) + I(0), \quad (3.11)$$

where we define

$$I(0) \equiv 2(1-\gamma)^2 d_2^2 B_{2\gamma}(0) + 2c_*^{2p}(p+1)^2 B_p(0). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Multiplying (0.1) by $(-2u_{xxt}/M(t))$ and integrating it over (a, b) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} H(t) + \left(2 + \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} \right) \frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} &= -(1-\gamma) \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)^{2-\gamma}} \|u_{xx}\|^2 - 2 \frac{(|u|^p u, u_{xxt})}{M(t)} \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We observe from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq 2(1-\gamma) \frac{\|u_{xx}\|^2}{M(t)} M(t)^\gamma \frac{\|u_{xt}\|}{\|u_x\|} \leq 2(1-\gamma) d_2 M(t)^\gamma \left(\frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ I_2 &\leq \frac{2(p+1)}{M(t)} \|u\|_\infty^p \|u_x\| \|u_{xt}\| \leq 2c_*^p (p+1) M(t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (3.1) that

$$2 + \frac{M'(t)}{M(t)} \geq 2 - 2H(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 2 \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma+2}.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(t) + 2 \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma+2} \frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} \leq \left(2(1-\gamma) d_2 M(t)^\gamma + 2c_*^p (p+1) M(t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \left(\frac{\|u_{xt}\|^2}{M(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and from the Young inequality,

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(t) \leq 2(1-\gamma)^2 d_2^2 M(t)^{2\gamma} + 2c_*^{2p} (p+1)^2 M(t)^p. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, integrating (3.13) and using (2.9), we obtain the desired estimate (3.11). \square

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.3 *Let the initial data $\{u_0, u_1\}$ belong to $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ and $u_0 \neq 0$. Suppose that $p > 4\gamma$ and*

$$(\gamma + 1)^2(H(0) + I(0)) < 1, \quad (3.14)$$

where c_* , $E(0)$, $H(0)$, $I(0)$ are defined by (0.2), (1.3), (1.4), (3.12), respectively. Then, the problem (0.1) admits a unique global solution $u(t)$ in the class $C^0([0, \infty); H^2 \cap H_0^1) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H_0^1) \cap C^2([0, \infty); L^2)$ satisfying

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (3.15)$$

and the energy satisfies

$$E(t) \equiv E(u(t), u_t(t)) \leq C(1+t)^{-1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (0.1) on $[0, T_1)$. Since $M(0) > 0$ (by $u_0 \neq 0$), putting

$$T_2 \equiv \sup \{t \in [0, \infty) \mid M(s) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq s < t\},$$

we see that $T_2 > 0$ and $M(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < T_2$.

If $T_2 < T_1$, then we see $M(T_2) = 0$.

For $0 \leq t < T_2$, if we assume $(\gamma + 2)(H(0) + I(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$, then there exists $T_3 > 0$ such that

$$(\gamma + 2)H(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_3,$$

and hence, from Proposition 3.2,

$$H(t) \leq H(0) + I(0) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_3. \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, we observe from (3.17) that

$$(\gamma + 2)H(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\gamma + 2)(H(0) + I(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_3,$$

and hence, we see $T_3 \geq T_2$, that is,

$$H(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\gamma + 2)^2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T_2. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $M(T_2) = 0$, we see from (3.18) that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_2} E(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_2} E(u(t), u_t(t)) = 0$.

We perform the change of variable $s = T_2 - t$ or $t = T_2 - s$, then the function $U(x, s) = u(x, T_2 - t)$ on $[0, T_2]$ satisfies that

$$U_{ss} - \|U_x(s)\|^{2\gamma} U_{xx} - U_s + |U|^p U = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Multiplying (3.19) by U_s and integrating it over (a, b) , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(U, U_s) = \|U_s\|^2 \leq 2E(U, U_s)$$

and from $E(U(0), U_s(0)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_2} E(u(t), u_t(t)) = 0$, we observe

$$E(U(s), U_s(s)) \leq 2 \int_0^s E(U(\tau), U_s(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we have that $E(U(s), U_s(s)) = 0$ for $0 \leq s \leq T_2$ or $E(u(t), u_t(t)) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T_2$ which contradicts $E(u_0, u_1) \equiv E(0) \geq J(0) \geq \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)}M(0)^{\gamma+1} > 0$, and hence, we see $T_2 \geq T_1$ and $M(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$.

Thus, we conclude from (3.18) that $\|u(t)\|_{H^2} + \|u_t(t)\|_{H^1} < \infty$ for $t \geq 0$. Therefore, the local solution $u(t)$ of (0.1) in the sense of Proposition 1.1 can be continued globally in time. Also, from Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 3.1 we obtain the decay estimates (3.15) and (3.16). \square

Acknowledgment. This work was in part supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (C) of JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science).

References

- [1] A. Arosio and S. Garavaldi, On the mildly degenerate Kirchhoff string, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 14 (1991), 177–195.
- [2] H.R. Crippa, On local solutions of some mildly degenerate hyperbolic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 21 (1993), 565–574.
- [3] M. Ghisi and M. Gobino, Hyperbolic-parabolic singular perturbation for mildly degenerate Kirchhoff equations: time-decay estimates, *J. Differential Equations*, 245 (2008), 2979–3007.
- [4] G. Kirchhoff, *Vorlesungen über Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [5] K. Nishihara, On a global solution of some quasilinear hyperbolic equation, *Tokyo J. Math.*, 7 (1984), 437–459.
- [6] M. Nakao, Decay of solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 60 (1977), 542–549.
- [7] M. Nakao and K. Ono, Existence of global solutions to the Cauchy problem for the semilinear dissipative wave equations, *Math. Z.*, 214 (1993), 325–342.

- [8] K. Nishihara and Y. Yamada, On global solutions of some degenerate quasilinear hyperbolic equations with dissipative terms, *33* (1990), 151–159.
- [9] K. Ono, Global existence and decay properties of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear dissipative Kirchhoff strings, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, *40* (1997), 255–270.
- [10] K. Ono, Global existence, decay, and blowup of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear Kirchhoff strings, *J. Differential Equations*, *137* (1997), 273–301.
- [11] K. Ono, On sharp decay estimates of solutions for mildly degenerate dissipative wave equations of Kirchhoff type, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* *34* (2011), 1339–1352.
- [12] Y. Yamada, Some nonlinear degenerate wave equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, *11* (1987), 1155–1168.