

## ***On the Connected Refinements of Topologies of Locally Connected Continua***

By

Tadashi TANAKA

(Received September 30, 1969)

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $I$  be the closed unit interval and  $\tau_0$  the usual topology on  $I$ . In [1], S. K. Hildebrand has shown the following theorem: If  $\tau$  is a finer connected topology for  $I$  than  $\tau_0$ , then any connected subset of  $I$  under  $\tau_0$  will be a connected subset of  $I$  under  $\tau$ . The purpose of this paper is to generalize this theorem.

### 2. Definitions.

If  $\sigma$  is a topology on a set  $X$ , the resulting space will be denoted by  $(X, \sigma)$  and the family of all connected subsets of  $(X, \sigma)$  by  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma)$ . If  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected, then  $\sigma$  is called a connected topology on  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then " $A$  is  $\sigma$ - $P$ " means that  $A$  has the property  $P$  in  $(X, \sigma)$  and  $Cl_\sigma A$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $(X, \sigma)$ . The topology  $\{U \cup (V \cap A) \mid U, V \in \sigma\}$  on  $X$  is said to be the simple extension of  $\sigma$  by  $A$  [2]. Other undefined terms can be extracted from Whyburn's Analytic Topology [3].

### 3. Main results.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(X, \sigma_0)$  be a locally connected, connected and compact  $T_2$ -space satisfying the second axiom of countability.*

*Then in order that for any finer connected topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  than  $\sigma_0$  we have  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ , the following conditions are necessary and sufficient:*

- (1)  *$(X, \sigma_0)$  does not contain any simple closed curve.*
- (2) *Any simple arc in  $(X, \sigma_0)$  contains at most a finite number of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

The proof of the theorem consists of the following four lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $S$  is any simple closed curve in  $(X, \sigma_0)$ , then  $S$  contains an uncountable number of non-cut points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $[ab]$  be a simple subarc from  $a$  to  $b$  of  $S$ . Then no points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$  separate  $(X, \sigma_0)$  between  $a$  and  $b$ , for  $S$  is a simple closed curve. Thus Lemma 1 is an immediate consequence of [3, (4.3), p. 51].

LEMMA 2. *If  $(X, \sigma_0)$  contains a simple closed curve, then there exists a finer connected topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  than  $\sigma_0$  such that  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) \neq \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be a simple closed curve of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Then by Lemma 1  $S$  contains a non-cut point  $p$  of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Let  $[apb]$  be a simple subarc from  $a$  to  $b$  of  $S$  in which  $p$  is an interior point. Let  $\sigma$  be the simple extension of  $\sigma_0$  by  $(X - [pb]) \cup p$ , where  $[pb]$  is the  $\sigma_0$ -simple subarc of  $[apb]$ . Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.

First, it is obvious from the definition of the simple extensions of  $\sigma_0$  that  $\sigma$  is finer than  $\sigma_0$ .

Next, we shall show that  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected. Any open subset of  $(X - p, \sigma)$  can be written in a form  $U \cup [V \cap \{(X - [pb]) \cup p\}] - p$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $(X, \sigma_0)$ , and hence in a form  $(U - p) \cup \{(V - p) \cap (X - [pb])\}$ . Therefore any open subset of  $(X - p, \sigma)$  is open in  $(X - p, \sigma_0)$ . On the other hand,  $(X - p, \sigma_0)$  is connected since  $p$  is a non-cut point of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Hence  $(X - p, \sigma)$  is connected. Since any  $\sigma$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  can be written in a form  $U \cup [V \cap \{(X - [pb]) \cup p\}]$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are  $\sigma_0$ -open and either  $U$  or  $V$  contains  $p$ , any  $\sigma$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  intersects with  $[ap] - p$ . Hence  $p$  belongs to  $Cl_\sigma(X - p)$ . Thus it follows from the above two facts that  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected.

Last, the  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc  $[apb]$  is not  $\sigma$ -connected because  $[apb] = [ap] \cup ([pb] - p)$ ,  $[ap] = [apb] \cap \{(X - [pb]) \cup p\}$  and  $[pb] - p = [apb] \cap (X - [ap])$  hold. Therefore we have  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) \neq \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .

Thus Lemma 2 is proved.

LEMMA 3. *Assume that  $(X, \sigma_0)$  does not contain any simple closed curve and that some simple subarc of  $(X, \sigma_0)$  contains an infinite number of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

*Then there exists a finer connected topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  than  $\sigma_0$  such that  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) \neq \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $[ab]$  be a  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc from  $a$  to  $b$  which contains an infinite number of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a point  $p$  and a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$  such that every point of  $\{b_n\}$  is in the  $\sigma_0$ -simple subarc  $[ap]$  of  $[ab]$  and  $p$  is a  $\sigma_0$ -limit point of  $\{b_n\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the simple extension of  $\sigma_0$  by  $(X - [ap]) \cup p$ . Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.

First, it is obvious from the definition of the simple extensions of  $\sigma_0$  that

$\sigma$  is finer than  $\sigma_0$ .

Second, to show that  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected, for each  $n$  let  $C_n$  be a  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - [ap]$  such that  $b_n$  is a  $\sigma_0$ -boundary point of  $C_n$ . Then every  $\sigma_0$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  contains both a point of each  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - p$  and all but a finite number of the sets  $C_n$  of  $\{C_n\}$ . The set  $(X - [ap]) \cup p$  contains both all  $C_n$  of  $\{C_n\}$  and all  $\sigma_0$ -components not containing  $[ap] - p$  of  $X - p$ . Therefore if  $V$  is any  $\sigma_0$ -neighbourhood of  $p$ , then the set  $V \cap \{(X - [ap]) \cup p\}$  intersects with each  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - p$ . Moreover, as in the proof of Lemma 2, any  $\sigma$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  can be written in a form  $U \cup [V \cap \{(X - [ap]) \cup p\}]$  where either  $U$  or  $V$  is a  $\sigma_0$ -open set containing  $p$ . Hence  $p$  belongs to the  $\sigma$ -closure of every  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - p$ . On the other hand, it is shown that every  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - p$  is  $\sigma$ -connected, which is shown in the same way as in Lemma 2 that  $X - p$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. Thus  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected.

Last, the  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc  $[ap]$  is not  $\sigma$ -connected because  $[ap] = ([ap] - p) \cup p$ ,  $[ap] - p = [ap] \cap (X - p)$  and  $p = [ap] \cap \{(X - [ap]) \cup p\}$  hold. Therefore we have  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) \neq \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .

Thus Lemma 3 is proved.

**LEMMA 4.** *Assume that  $(X, \sigma_0)$  does not contain any simple closed curve and that any simple arc in  $(X, \sigma_0)$  contains at most a finite number of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

*Then for any finer connected topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  than  $\sigma_0$  we have  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .*

**PROOF.** The lemma is proved through the following three steps.

First, if  $[ab]$  is any  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc whose interior contains no branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ , then  $[ab]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. To prove this, suppose, on the contrary, that  $[ab]$  is not  $\sigma$ -connected. Let  $[ab] = A \cup B$  be a  $\sigma$ -separation of  $[ab]$ . Now either  $a \in A$  or  $a \in B$  and either  $b \in A$  or  $b \in B$ . Assume  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . The proof is similar if any of the other three possible cases is considered. The  $\sigma_0$ -boundary of every  $\sigma_0$ -component of  $X - [ab]$  consists of exactly one of  $a$  and  $b$ , since  $(X, \sigma_0)$  contains no simple closed curve and the interior of  $[ab]$  contains no branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Now let  $A^*$  be the sum of  $A$  together with all the  $\sigma_0$ -components of  $X - [ab]$  whose boundary is  $a$  and  $B^*$  the sum of  $B$  together with all the  $\sigma_0$ -components of  $X - [ab]$  whose boundary is  $b$ . Then  $X = A^* \cup B^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -separation of  $(X, \sigma)$  since  $(X, \sigma_0)$  is locally connected and  $\sigma$  is finer than  $\sigma_0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $(X, \sigma)$  is connected. Hence  $[ab]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected.

Second, if  $[ab]$  is any  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc whose interior contains a finite number of branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ , then  $[ab]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. To show this, denote the branch points belonging to the interior of  $[ab]$  by  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  in

the order from  $a$  to  $b$ . Then each of the  $\sigma_0$ -simple subarcs  $[ab_1]$ ,  $[b_1b_2]$ , ...,  $[b_nb]$  of  $[ab]$  contains no branch points of  $(X, \sigma_0)$  in its interior. Therefore, by the result of the preceding paragraph, each of  $[ab_1]$ ,  $[b_1b_2]$ , ...,  $[b_nb]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. Thus  $[ab]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected since  $[ab]$  can be written in a form  $[ab_1] \cup [b_1b_2] \cup \dots \cup [b_nb]$ .

Last, let  $C$  be any  $\sigma_0$ -connected subset of  $(X, \sigma_0)$ . Then, by [3, (11.21), p. 82],  $C$  is  $\sigma_0$ -arcwise connected and hence every two points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $C$  can be joined in  $C$  by a  $\sigma_0$ -simple arc  $[xy]$ . By the results of preceding paragraphs  $[xy]$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. Therefore  $C$  is  $\sigma$ -connected. Thus  $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_0)$ .

Lemmas 2 and 3 show that the conditions of the theorem are necessary and Lemma 4 shows that the same are sufficient. Thus Theorem is proved.

*Faculty of Engineering  
Tokushima University*

### References

- [1] S. K. Hildebrand, *A connected topology for the unit interval*, Fund. Math., **61** (1967), 133-140.
- [2] N. Levine, *Simple extension of topologies*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), 22-25.
- [3] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., **28**, Providence, 1942.