

On the Connectivity Structures of Spaces

By

Tadashi TANAKA

(Received September 30, 1968)

1. Introduction

If σ is a topology on a set X , the resulting space will be denoted by (X, σ) . The family of all connected subsets of (X, σ) is called the connectivity structure of (X, σ) and denoted by $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma)$. A function $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is a connected function if for every connected subset C of (X, σ) , $f(C)$ is connected. Also f is a connectivity function if the graph function $g: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau)$, defined by $g(p) = p \times f(p)$, is a connected function [1], [2]. In [3], S. K. Hildebrand and D. E. Sanderson have shown that $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is a connectivity function if and only if $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma \cup f^{-1}(\tau))$ where $\sigma \cup f^{-1}(\tau)$ is the topology on X generated by σ and $f^{-1}(\tau)$.

In this paper we investigate what conditions, if we have such a space (X, σ_2) that is finer than (X, σ_1) , will lead the relation $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$. Some results concerned with this problem may be found [4] and [5].

2. Definitions and preliminary results

Let (X, σ_1) be a T_1 -space and denote it by $\{U(p)\}$, the family of all neighbourhoods of a point p in (X, σ_1) . Let \mathbf{F} be a family of subsets of X having the following properties:

(F_I) The empty set ϕ belongs to \mathbf{F} .

(F_{II}) If F_α and F_β belong to \mathbf{F} , then the sum $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$ belongs to \mathbf{F} .

Let $\{V(p)\}$ be the family of all subsets $V(p)$ of X , $V(p)$ of which takes the form $(U(p) - F) \cup p$ where $U(p) \in \{U(p)\}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$. Then the following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION. *If to each point p of X there corresponds the family $\{V(p)\}$ of subsets of X , then there is a unique T_1 -space (denoted by (X, σ_2)) such that, for each point p of X , $\{V(p)\}$ is a base of neighbourhoods of p in (X, σ_2) . And moreover (X, σ_2) is finer than (X, σ_1) .*

PROOF. To prove this, we shall show that $\{V(p)\}$ corresponded to each point p of X satisfies the three conditions on the bases of neighbourhoods of

a point.

First, it is obvious from the definition of $\{V(p)\}$ that any set belonging to $\{V(p)\}$ contains p .

Second, if $V_\alpha(p)$ and $V_\beta(p)$ belong to $\{V(p)\}$, then $V_\alpha(p) \cap V_\beta(p)$ belongs to $\{V(p)\}$. For, since $V_\alpha(p) \equiv (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p$ and $V_\beta(p) \equiv (U_\beta(p) - F_\beta) \cup p$, where $U_\alpha(p)$ and $U_\beta(p)$ belong to $\{U(p)\}$ and F_α and F_β belong to \mathbf{F} , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha(p) \cap V_\beta(p) &= \{(U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cap (U_\beta(p) - F_\beta)\} \cup p \\ &= \{(U_\alpha(p) \cap F_\alpha^c) \cap (U_\beta(p) \cap F_\beta^c)\} \cup p \\ &= \{(U_\alpha(p) \cap U_\beta(p)) \cap (F_\alpha^c \cap F_\beta^c)\} \cup p \\ &= \{(U_\alpha(p) \cap U_\beta(p)) \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)^c\} \cup p \\ &= \{(U_\alpha(p) \cap U_\beta(p)) - (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)\} \cup p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $V_\alpha(p) \cap V_\beta(p)$ belongs to $\{V(p)\}$.

Third, if $V_\alpha(p)$ belongs to $\{V(p)\}$ and q is any point of $V_\alpha(p)$, then there exists $V_\beta(q)$ belonging to $\{V(q)\}$ such that $V_\beta(q) \subset V_\alpha(p)$. For, let $V_\alpha(p) \equiv (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p$ exactly as before and let $U_\beta(q)$ be a set belonging to $\{U(q)\}$ such that $U_\beta(q) \subset U_\alpha(p)$. Then it follows that

$$(U_\beta(q) - F_\alpha) \cup q \subset U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha \subset (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p = V_\alpha(p).$$

Hence $(U_\beta(q) - F_\alpha) \cup q$ is a set satisfying the required condition, which belongs to $\{V(q)\}$.

Finally, Since (X, σ_1) is a T_1 -space and \mathbf{F} contains the empty set, it is obvious that (X, σ_2) is a T_1 -space and is finer than (X, σ_1) .

Thus our proposition is proved.

The space (X, σ_2) defined above is said to be the refined space of (X, σ_1) by \mathbf{F} .

Let A be any set of X . Then " A is σ_i -P" means that A has the property P in (X, σ_i) , and $Cl_{\sigma_i} A$ denotes the closure of A in (X, σ_i) where $i=1, 2$.

3. Connectivity structures of (X, σ_i)

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, σ_2) be the refined space of (X, σ_1) by \mathbf{F} and C any nondegenerate subset of X . In order that C be σ_2 -connected, it is necessary and sufficient that (1) C be σ_1 -connected and (2) if F_α is any set belonging to \mathbf{F} then $Cl_{\sigma_1}(C - F_\alpha) \supset C$.*

PROOF. The condition is necessary. For let C be σ_2 -connected. Then C

is σ_1 -connected since (X, σ_2) is finer than (X, σ_1) . To show (2), suppose, on the contrary, that there exist a point p of C and a set F_α belonging to \mathbf{F} such that p is not in $Cl_{\sigma_1}(C - F_\alpha)$. Then there exists a set $U_\alpha(p)$ belonging to $\{U(p)\}$ such that $U_\alpha(p)$ is disjoint from $C - F_\alpha$. Let set $V_\alpha(p) \equiv (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p$, where F_α and $U_\alpha(p)$ are the sets defined above. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C \cap V_\alpha(p) &= C \cap \{(U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p\} \\ &= \{C \cap (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha)\} \cup \{C \cap p\} \\ &= \{C \cap U_\alpha(p) \cap F_\alpha^c\} \cup p \\ &= \{U_\alpha(p) \cap (C - F_\alpha)\} \cup p = p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the case in which C is a subspace of (X, σ_2) , p is both open and closed in C . Therefore the nondegenerate set C is not σ_2 -connected, contrary to the supposition, and thus (2) is proved.

The condition is sufficient. For suppose, on the contrary, that C satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in this theorem, and that C is not σ_2 -connected. Let $C = A \cup B$ be a σ_2 -separation of C . Then by (1), we assume, there exists a point a of A such that a is in $Cl_{\sigma_1} B$ without losing generality. Let $V_\alpha(a) \equiv (U_\alpha(a) - F_\alpha) \cup a$ be a set belonging to $\{V(a)\}$ that is disjoint from B . Then there exists a point b of B such that b is in $U_\alpha(a)$. Let $V_\beta(b) \equiv (U_\beta(b) - F_\beta) \cup b$ be a set belonging to $\{V(b)\}$ such that $U_\beta(b) \subset U_\alpha(a)$ and $V_\beta(b)$ is disjoint from A . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B \cap U_\beta(b) &\subset B \cap U_\alpha(a) \subset B \cap \{(U_\alpha(a) - F_\alpha) \cup F_\alpha\} \\ &= \{B \cap (U_\alpha(a) - F_\alpha)\} \cup (B \cap F_\alpha) = \phi \cup (B \cap F_\alpha) \subset F_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap U_\beta(b) &\subset A \cap \{(U_\beta(b) - F_\beta) \cup F_\beta\} \\ &= \{A \cap (U_\beta(b) - F_\beta)\} \cup (A \cap F_\beta) = \phi \cup (A \cap F_\beta) \subset F_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C \cap U_\beta(b) = (A \cap U_\beta(b)) \cup (B \cap U_\beta(b)) \subset F_\alpha \cup F_\beta.$$

Therefore the point b of B is not in $Cl_{\sigma_1}(C - (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta))$. This contradicts the condition (2) since $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$ belongs to \mathbf{F} .

Thus the sufficiency is proved.

LEMMA 1. *If F_α is any set belonging to \mathbf{F} , then F_α is either empty or σ_2 -totally disconnected.*

PROOF. Let F_α be any set belonging to \mathbf{F} , p be any point of F_α , and $U_\alpha(p)$ be any set belonging to $\{U(p)\}$. Let us define $V_\alpha(p) \equiv (U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p$. Then we have

$$F_\alpha \cap V_\alpha(p) = F_\alpha \cap \{(U_\alpha(p) - F_\alpha) \cup p\} = p.$$

Therefore, in the case in which F_α is a subspace of (X, σ_2) , p is open in F_α and hence p is a component of F_α .

Thus F_α is σ_2 -totally disconnected.

LEMMA 2. *If we have $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$, then any set belonging to \mathbf{F} is either empty or σ_1 -totally disconnected.*

PROOF. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a set F_α belonging to \mathbf{F} which is neither empty nor σ_1 -totally disconnected. Let C be a nondegenerate σ_1 -connected subset of F_α . Then, by the hypothesis $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$, C is σ_2 -connected. On the other hand, by Lemma 1 F_α is σ_2 -totally disconnected and so is C .

This contradiction proves Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *Assume that (X, σ_1) satisfies the condition as follows:*

If C is any nondegenerate σ_1 -connected subset of X , p is any point of C , and $U_\alpha(p)$ is any set belonging to $\{U(p)\}$, then $C \cap U_\alpha(p)$ is not σ_1 -totally disconnected.

Then if each set belonging to \mathbf{F} is either empty or σ_1 -totally disconnected, we have $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$.

PROOF. Let C be any nondegenerate σ_1 -connected subset of X . To prove this, by Theorem 1 it is only need to show that for any set F_α belonging to \mathbf{F} we have $Cl_{\sigma_1}(C - F_\alpha) \supset C$. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist a point p of C and a set $U_\alpha(p)$ belonging to $\{U(p)\}$ such that $U_\alpha(p) \cap (C - F_\alpha)$ is empty. Then $U_\alpha(p) \cap C \subset F_\alpha$. Hence F_α contains a nondegenerate σ_1 -connected set since $U_\alpha(p) \cap C$ contains the same. This is impossible because F_α is σ_1 -totally disconnected. Therefore we have $Cl_{\sigma_1}(C - F_\alpha) \supset C$.

Thus Lemma 3 is proved.

Combining Lemma 2 with Lemma 3 we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that (X, σ_1) satisfies the condition as follows:*

() If C is any nondegenerate σ_1 -connected subset of X , p is any point of C , and $U_\alpha(p)$ is any set belonging to $\{U(p)\}$, then $C \cap U_\alpha(p)$ is not σ_1 -totally disconnected.*

Then in order that we have $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$ it is both necessary and sufficient that

(**) *Each set belonging to \mathbf{F} is either empty or σ_1 -totally disconnected.*

On the condition (*) in Theorem 2 used only for the proof of sufficiency, we have the following results.

COROLLARY. *In order to have $\mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_1) = \mathbf{C}(X, \sigma_2)$ for every refined space (X, σ_2) of (X, σ_1) by any family \mathbf{F} of subsets of X satisfying the conditions (\mathbf{F}_1) , (\mathbf{F}_{11}) and (**), the condition (*) is both necessary and sufficient.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2 the condition (*) is sufficient. To prove the necessity suppose, on the contrary, that the condition (*) is not satisfied. Then there exist a nondegenerate σ_1 -connected set C , a point p of C and a set $U_\alpha(p)$ belonging to $\{U(p)\}$ such that $C \cap U_\alpha(p)$ is σ_1 -totally disconnected. Then the family of subsets of X consisting of the empty set and $C \cap U_\alpha(p)$ satisfies the conditions (\mathbf{F}_1) , (\mathbf{F}_{11}) and (**). Let (X, σ_2) be the refined space of (X, σ_1) by the family above. Then C is not σ_2 -connected since if $V_\alpha(p) \equiv \{U_\alpha(p) - (C \cap U_\alpha(p))\} \cup p$ we have $C \cap V_\alpha(p) = p$. This contradicts our hypothesis.

Thus the corollary is proved.

REMARK. In [6], Mazurkiewicz has shown the existence of nondegenerate connected set in a plane containing none of bounded nondegenerate connected subsets. Accordingly, no spaces containing a subspace homeomorphic to the plane satisfy the condition (*).

On the other hand any nondegenerate continuum which is locally connected and contains no simple closed curve (called the dendrite) satisfies the condition (*), because every connected subset of any dendrite is arcwise connected [7].

*Faculty of Engineering
Tokushima University*

References

- [1] O. H. Hamilton, *Fixed points for certain non-continuous transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **8** (1957), pp. 750-756.
- [2] J. Stallings, *Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps*, Fund. Math., vol. **47** (1959), pp. 249-263.
- [3] S. K. Hildebrand and D. E. Sanderson, *Connectivity functions and retracts*, Fund. Math., vol. **57** (1965), pp. 237-245.
- [4] S. K. Hildebrand, *A connected topology for the unit interval*, Fund. Math., vol. **61** (1967), pp. 133-140.
- [5] Carlos J. R. Borges, *On extensions of topologies*, Canadian J. Math., vol. **19** (1968) pp. 474-487.
- [6] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur l'existence d'un ensemble plan connexe ne contenant aucun sous ensemble connexe, borné*, Fund. Math., vol. **2**, (1921), pp. 96-103.
- [7] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic Topology*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. publ., vol. **28**, Providence, 1942.