## ON THE ORDER, TYPE AND THE ZEROS OF AN ENTIRE FUNCTION (II)

By

## Pawan Kumar Kamthan

(Received September 30, 1964)

1. Let  $f=f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^n$  be an entire function, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}|c_n|^{-1/n}=\infty$ . The order  $\rho$ , lower order  $\lambda$ ; type T and lower type t of f(z) are well-known in terms of the l.u.b. of |f(z)| when arg z ranges over the circle |z|=r. Their respective analogues in terms of the coefficients  $c_n$  are also well-known and so we write

(1) 
$$\rho = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log |c_n|^{-1}}; \ e\rho T = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} n |c_n|^{-1/n}, \ \text{if} \ 0 < \rho < \infty.$$

Further, if  $x=x(n)=|c_n/c_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n, at least for  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $n_0$  being some large but fixed positive integer, then

(2) 
$$\lambda = \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log |c_n|^{-1}}; e \rho t = \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} n |c_n|^{-1/n}, \text{ if } 0 < \rho < \infty.$$

My main aim in this paper is to find out certain relationships between  $\rho$ ,  $\lambda$ , T and t for two or more entire functions. For the sake of brevity I introduce the following abbreviations:

$$f_1 = f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n; f_2 = f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$
  

$$x_1 = x_1(n) = |a_n/a_{n+1}|; x_2 = x_2(n) = |b_n/b_{n+1}|.$$

2. I state and prove the following theorems\*:

THEOREM 1: Let (i)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions of orders  $\rho_1(0<\rho_1<\infty)$  and  $\rho_2(0<\rho_2<\infty)$ ; lower types  $t_1$   $(0< t_1<\infty)$ ,  $t_2(0< t_2<\infty)$  respectively, and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be non-decreasing functions of n for  $n>n_0$ ; and that

(ii) 
$$\log |c_n|^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta}, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \ 0 < \beta < 1, \ \alpha + \beta = 1.$$

Then f is also an entire function of order  $\rho$  and lower type t, such that

$$(2. 2) t \geqslant t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta}.$$

PROOF: First we show that f is an entire function; since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are entire functions, we have:

<sup>\*</sup> Most of the results cited and proved in this paper were prepared in 1961-62 and submitted in the form of a thesis to Raj. Uni. (1963). The author thanks Dr. S.C. Mitra for his kind encouragement and encouraging criticism.

(2. 3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n} = \infty;$$

(2. 3) 
$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n} = \infty;}{\lim_{n \to \infty} |b_n|^{-1/n} = \infty.}$$

Therefore for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every arbitrarily large R,

$$(2. 5) \qquad (\log|a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} > (n\log(R-\varepsilon))^{\alpha}, n > n_1;$$

(2. 6) 
$$(\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} > (n \log (R - \varepsilon))^{\beta}, n > n_2.$$

Making use of (ii) of the theorem, we get for sufficiently large n:

$$\log |c_n|^{-1} > n \log (R-\varepsilon)$$
,

which means that f is an entire function.

Using (i) for  $f_1$ , we have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log|a_n|^{-1}}{n\log n} > (\rho_1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}, \ n > n_1$$

or, we have:

$$(\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} > \{(\rho_1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} n \log n\}^{\alpha}, n > n_1.$$

Similarly for  $f_2$ , we get:

$$(\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} > \{(\rho_2 + \varepsilon)^{-1} n \log n\}^{\beta}, n > n_2.$$

Therefore for  $n > \max (n_1, n_2)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(2. 7) \qquad (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} > \frac{n \log n}{(\rho_1 + \varepsilon)^{\alpha} (\rho_2 + \varepsilon)^{\beta}}.$$

Hence making use of (ii) of the theorem and (2. 7), we find for sufficiently large n.

$$\frac{\log|c_n|^{-1}}{n\log n} > \frac{1}{(\rho_1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha}(\rho_2+\varepsilon)^{\beta}}$$

and so taking limit inferior of the preceding inequality, we get (2. 1).

We next prove (2. 2). Using (i) for  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , we have:

(2. 8) 
$$n|a_n|^{\rho_1/n} > (t_1 - \varepsilon)e\rho_1, n > n_1, \varepsilon > 0;$$

(2. 9) 
$$n |b_n|^{\rho_2/n} > (t_2 - \varepsilon) e \rho_2, n > n_2, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Inequalities (2. 8) and (2. 9) lead to

$$(\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} < \left[\frac{n}{\rho_1} \log \left\{n/(t_1-\varepsilon)e\rho_1\right\}\right]^{\alpha}, \ n > n_2;$$

$$(\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} < \left\lceil \frac{n}{\rho_2} \log \left\{ n / (t_2 - \varepsilon) e \rho_2 \right\} \right\rceil^{\beta}, \ n > n_2,$$

which when combined yield

$$(2. 10) \qquad (\log|a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log|b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} < \frac{n}{\rho_1^{\alpha} \rho_2^{\beta}} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{n}{A}\right) \right\}^{\alpha} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{n}{B}\right) \right\}^{\beta},$$

where

$$A = (t_1 - \varepsilon) e \rho_1$$
;  $B = (t_2 - \varepsilon) e \rho_2$ .

So in accordance with (ii), we find from (2.10) for sufficiently large n,

(2. 11) 
$$\log|c_n|^{-1} < \frac{n}{\rho_1^{\alpha} \rho_2^{\beta}} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{n}{A}\right) \right\}^{\alpha} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{n}{B}\right) \right\}^{\beta},$$

and as  $\rho_1^{-\alpha}\rho_2^{-\beta} \leqslant \rho^{-1}$  from (2. 1), we see that for sufficiently large n,

or,

$$\begin{split} \log |c_{n}|^{-1} &< \frac{n}{\rho} (\log n - \log A)^{\alpha} (\log n - \log B)^{\beta} \\ &= \frac{n}{\rho} \Big\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha \log A}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}((\log n)^{-2}) \Big\} \Big\{ 1 - \frac{\beta \log B}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}((\log n)^{-2}) \Big\} \log n \\ &= \frac{n}{\rho} \Big\{ 1 - \frac{\log (A^{\alpha} B^{\beta})}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}((\log n)^{-2}) \Big\} \log n. \end{split}$$

Therefore for large n

$$|c_n|^{-\rho/n} < n^{\left[1 - \frac{\log A^{\alpha} B^{\beta}}{\log n} + \mathcal{O}\left((\log n)^{-2}\right)\right]},$$

$$\frac{\rho e n |c_n|^{\rho/n}}{\rho e} > n^{\left[\log (A^{\alpha} B^{\beta})/\log n + \mathcal{O}\left((\log n)^{-2}\right)\right]},$$

and as the power of n on the right-hand side of the preceding inequality tends to  $A_1{}^aB_1{}^\beta$ ,  $A_1=e\rho_1t_1$ ,  $B_1=e\rho_2t_2$  we find  $\rho et \geqslant e^{\alpha+\beta}t_1{}^\alpha t_2{}^\beta \rho_1{}^\alpha \rho_2{}^\beta$ , and using (2.1) again we finally have (2.2).

THEOREM 2:\* If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions having the same order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \infty)$ , lower type  $t_1(0 < t_1 < \infty)$ ;  $t_2(0 < t_2 < \infty)$  respectively and if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ , then f, where (i)  $|c_n| \sim |a_n|^{\alpha} |b_n|^{\beta}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying the condition of Th. 1, is also an entire function of order\*  $\rho$  and lower type t such that

$$(2. 12) t \geqslant t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta}$$

Further, if  $T_1(0 < T_1 < \infty)$  and  $T_2(0 < T_2 < \infty)$  are types of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , then the type T of f is given by

$$(2. 13) T \leqslant T_1^{\alpha} T_2^{\beta}.$$

PROOF: We have: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(2. 14) 
$$\left(\frac{n}{\rho e}\right)^{\alpha} |a_n|^{\alpha \rho/n} > (t_1 - \varepsilon)^{\alpha}, \ n > n_1;$$

(2. 15) 
$$\left(\frac{n}{\varrho \varepsilon}\right)^{\beta} |b_n|^{\beta \varrho/n} > (t_2 - \varepsilon)^{\beta}, \ n > n_2.$$

On multiplying (2. 14) and (2. 15) and then using (i) of the theorem, we find for  $n > \max (n_1, n_2)$ 

$$\frac{n}{ne}|c_n|^{\rho/n} > (t_1-\varepsilon)^{\alpha}(t_2-\varepsilon)^{\beta}$$

and so (2.12) follows. Similarly, making use of (i) we can prove (2.13). The proof that f is an entire function can be obtained on making an appeal to the proof of Th. 1.

COR. If 
$$t_1 = T_1$$
,  $t_2 = T_2$ , then  $t = T = T_1^{\alpha} T_2^{\beta}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> We are interested in those f(z)'s for which the order, under the condition (i), is  $\rho$ . Even if the order of f is  $\leq \rho$ , (2. 12) will hold; but for (2. 13) only such f's are to be considered for which the order is equal to  $\rho$ .

REMARK: We can obtained a result similar to Theorem 1 relating to types  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and connecting them to the type T of f, provided we assume that the order of  $\rho$  under the conditions of Theorem 1 is given as:  $\rho = \rho_1{}^{\alpha}\rho_2{}^{\beta}$  (which has to be considered as hypothesis in case we wish to obtain such results); in fact we have:

THEOREM 1': If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions satisfying all the conditions of Th. 1, excluding that imposed on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  then f is also an entire function of order  $\rho$ . If  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , T are types of  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , f respectively and if  $\rho = \rho_1{}^{\alpha}\rho_2{}^{\beta}$ , then  $T \leq T_1{}^{\alpha}T_2{}^{\beta}$ , the types being non-zero finite.

PROOF: We omit the proof as it is based on the proof of Theorem 1. We also omit numerous obvious corollaries.

3. THEOREM 3: Let (i)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions of orders  $\rho_1(0 < \rho_1 < \infty)$ ,  $\rho_2(0 < \rho_2 < \infty)$ ; lower orders  $\lambda_1(0 < \lambda_1 < \infty)$ ,  $\lambda_2(0 < \lambda_2 < \infty)$  respectively and that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ . (ii)  $\log |c_n/c_{n+1}| \sim (\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|)^{1/r} (\log |b_n/b_{n+1}|)^{1/\delta}$ , where  $1 < \delta < \infty$ ;  $1 < r < \infty$ ;  $\delta^{-1} + r^{-1} = 1$ . Then f is also an entire function of order  $\rho$  and lower order  $\lambda$ , such that

(3. 1) 
$$\rho \leqslant \rho_1^{1/r} \rho_2^{1/\delta},$$
 (3. 2)  $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_1^{1/r} \lambda^{1/\delta}.$ 

PROOF: Shah [2] has proved if  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  is an entire function then

(A) Order of 
$$F = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \frac{\log n}{\log |A_n/A_{n+1}|}$$

and if  $|A_n/A_{n+1}|$  is non-decreasing then

(B) Lower order of 
$$F = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log |A_n/A_{n+1}|}$$

Hence making use of (B) and (A), since  $x_1$  is non-decreasing, we get:

$$\frac{\log|a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n} < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \varepsilon, \ n > n_1;$$

$$(3. 4) \qquad \frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n} > \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \varepsilon, \ n > n_2$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Similarly for  $f_2$ , we have, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

(3. 5) 
$$\frac{\log |b_n/b_{n+1}|}{\log n} < \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \varepsilon, \ n > n_1';$$

(3. 6) 
$$\frac{\log |b_n/b_{n+1}|}{\log n} > \frac{1}{\rho_2} - \varepsilon, \ n > n_2'.$$

From (3. 3) and (3. 5) we have for  $n > \max(n_1, n_1)$ 

$$\frac{(\log|a_n/a_{n+1}|)^{1/r}(\log|b_n/b_{n+1}|)^{1/\delta}}{\log n} < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \varepsilon\right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \varepsilon\right)^{1/\delta},$$

and as  $|c_n/c_{n+1}|$  is non-decreasing, find from (i)

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\frac{\log \frac{|c_n/c_{n+1}|}{\log n}} \leqslant \lambda_1^{-1/r}\lambda_2^{-1/\delta}.$$

which implies  $\lambda^{-1} \leq \lambda_1^{-1/r} \lambda_2^{-1/\delta}$ . Similarly from (3. 4) and (3. 6) we obtain  $\rho^{-1} \geq \rho_1^{-1/r} \rho_2^{-1/\delta}$  and this proves the theorem.

COR. If  $f_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(j)} z^n$ ,  $(j=1, 2, \dots, s)$  be s entire functions of orders  $\rho_j(0 \le \rho_j \le \infty)$ ,  $(j=1,2,\dots,s)$  respectively and each of the function  $|a_n^{(j)}/a_{n+1}^{(j)}|$ ,  $(j=1,2,\dots,s)$  be non-decreasing for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  where

$$\log |c_n/c_{n+1}| \sim (\log |a_n^{(1)}/a_{n+1}^{(1)}|)^{1/\alpha_1} \cdots (\log |a_n^{(\delta)}/a_{n+1}^{(\delta)}|)^{1/\alpha_s},$$

where  $1 < \alpha_j < \infty$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, s)$ ;  $\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j^{-1} = 1$ , is also an entire function of order  $\rho$ , such that  $\rho \leq \rho_1^{1/\alpha_1} \cdots \rho_s^{1/\alpha_s}$ .

and a similar type of result in case of lower orders.

## 4. Here I prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 4: Let (i)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .

(ii)  $2(\log|c_n|^{-1})^{-1} \sim (\log|a_n|^{-1})^{-1} + (\log|b_n|^{-1})^{-1}$ .

Then f is also an entire function of order  $\rho$ , such that

$$(4. 1) 2\rho \leqslant \rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

(iii) Further, let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be the lower orders of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$  and that (ii) holds. Then f is of lower order  $\lambda$ , such that

$$(4. 2) 2\lambda \geqslant \lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

COR. If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are of regular growths, then f is also of regular growth and (4. 3)  $2\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2.$ 

REMARK: The result (4.3) can also be obtained even if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not of regular growths. But in that case we will have to make some other supposition as the following theorem shows:

THEOREM 5: Let (i)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two entire functions of orders  $\rho_1(0 < \rho_1 < \infty)$ ,  $\rho_2(0 < \rho_2 < \infty)$ ; types  $T_1$  (0 <  $T_1 < \infty$ ),  $T_2(0 < T_2 < \infty)$ ; lower types  $t_1(0 < t_1 < \infty)$ ,  $t_2(0 < t_2 < \infty)$ , and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ .

(ii)  $2(\log |c_n|^{-1})^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{-1} + (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{-1}$ .

Then f is also an entire function of order  $\rho$ , such that

$$(4. 4) 2\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4: First we show that f is an entire function. Since  $f_2$  is an entire function, hence for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and arbitrily large R,

$$(\log |b_n|^{-1/n})^{-1} < {\log (R-\varepsilon)}^{-1}, n > n_1.$$

Similarly for  $f_1$ , we have:

$$(\log |a_n|^{-1/n})^{-1} < {\log (R-\varepsilon)}^{-1}, n > n_2.$$

Hence using (ii), we have for sufficiently large n,

$$2(\log |c_n|^{-1/n})^{-1} < 2\{\log (R-\varepsilon)\}^{-1},$$

and so f is an entire function.

Further, as  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are of orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , we find for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large n,

$$\frac{n\log n}{\log|a_n|^{-1}} + \frac{n\log n}{\log|b_n|^{-1}} < \rho_1 + \rho_2 + 2\varepsilon,$$

and (4. 1) follows. The proof of (4. 2) is similar and so omitted.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5: Using (i) for  $f_1$ , we have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(4. 5) 
$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} < \frac{n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} \log \{e\rho_1(T_1 + \varepsilon)\} + \rho_1 = \rho_1 + o(1).$$

Similarly, for  $f_2$ , we have for  $n > n_2$ ,

(4. 6) 
$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} < \rho_2 + o(1).$$

Inequalities (4. 5) and (4. 6) yield on making use of (ii), for sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{2n\log n}{\log|c_n|^{-1}} < \rho_1 + \rho_2 + o(1).$$

Therefore

$$(4. 7) 2\rho \leqslant \rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

Again, using (2) for  $f_1$ , we have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{n\log n}{\log|a_n|^{-1}} > \frac{n}{\log|a_n|^{-1}} \log \{e\rho_1(t_1-\varepsilon)\} + \rho_1 = \rho_1 + o(1), \ n > n_1,$$

and a similar expression for  $f_2$ . Therefore for sufficiently large n,

$$(4. 8) 2\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2n \log n}{\log |c_n|^{-1}} \geqslant \rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

But as  $\lambda \leq \rho$  always, we find from (4. 8)

$$(4. 9) 2\rho \geqslant \rho_1 + \rho_2$$

Therefore (4.4) follows from (4.7) and (4.9).

5. Let n(x) denote the number of zeros of an entire function f(z) in  $|z| \le x$ . I prove:

THEOREM 6: If f(z) is an entire function of order zero and not a constant, then

(5. 1) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{N_{\alpha}(r)}{Q_{\alpha}(r)} = \infty,$$

where

$$N_{\alpha}(r) = r^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(x)}{x^{\alpha+1}} dx$$
;  $Q_{\alpha}(r) = r^{\alpha+1} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(x)}{x^{\alpha+2}} dx \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geqslant 0$ .

PROOF: Suppose (5. 1) does not hold good. Then

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \frac{N_{\alpha}(r)}{Q_{\alpha}(r)} = \eta_1, \ \eta_1 < \infty.$$

Hence for r > R,

$$N_{\alpha}(r) \leqslant \eta Q_{\alpha}(r)$$
,

Let  $0 \le \alpha < \xi < \alpha + (1+\eta)^{-1}$ . Then  $\int_{0}^{\infty} n(x) x^{-1-\xi} dx$  is convergent and so

$$\int_{R}^{\infty} Q_{\alpha}(t) t^{-1-\xi} dt = \int_{R}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-\varepsilon} dt \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{n(x)}{x^{\alpha+2}} dx$$

$$= \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{n(x)}{x^{\alpha+2}} dx \int_{R}^{x} t^{\alpha-\xi} dt$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha - \xi + 1} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{n(x)}{x^{\xi+1}} dx,$$

and so  $\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{\alpha}(x) x^{-1-\epsilon} dx$  is convergent. Now

$$\int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{\alpha}(t)}{t^{1+\xi}} dt \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - \xi + 1} \int_{R}^{\infty} x^{\alpha - \xi} d\left[\frac{N_{\alpha}(x)}{x^{\alpha}}\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{\eta(\xi - \alpha)}{\alpha - \xi + 1} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{\alpha}(x)}{x^{1+\xi}} dx.$$

But  $\eta(\xi-\alpha)/(\alpha-\xi+1) < 1$ , and so a contradiction.

Note: The author has obtained this result alternatively [1, p. 11].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kamthan, P.K. On the order, type and the zeros of an entire function; Proc. Raj. Acad. Sci., 9, (1962), 7-16.
- [2] Shah, S.M. On the lower order of integral functions; Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52, (1946), 1046-52.

Post-Graduate Studies (Eve.) Delhi University, Delhi-6, India