

## NOTE ON SEMI-LOCAL RINGS

By

Tadayuki MATSUOKA

(Received September 30, 1961)

We know that the notion of a system of parameters of a local ring can be extended to the case of a semi-local ring (cf. [4]<sup>1)</sup>). In this note, we introduce the concept of a regular semi-local ring, naturally extending the definition from regular local ring to semi-local ring. For regular semi-local rings we shall show some properties which are analogous to the fundamental properties of regular local rings. Throughout this note the term "local or semi-local ring" will mean commutative Noetherian local or semi-local ring with identity.

### 1. Regular semi-local rings

Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring and  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a defining ideal of  $R$ . We denote by  $\dim R$  the dimension of  $R$ , by  $l(R/\mathfrak{q}^n)$  the length of  $R/\mathfrak{q}^n$  and by  $e(\mathfrak{q})$  the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . The integer  $e(\mathfrak{m})$  is called the multiplicity of  $R$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the  $J$ -radical<sup>2)</sup> of  $R$ .

Given a semi-local ring  $R$  of dimension  $d$ , a system  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  of  $d$  elements of  $R$  which generates a defining ideal is called a system of parameters of  $R$ .

The well known relation between multiplicities and lengths is given as follows:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  a system of parameters of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  the ideal  $\sum_{i=1}^d Rx_i$ . Then we have  $e(\mathfrak{q}) \leq l(R/\mathfrak{q})$ . The equality holds if and only if the form ring  $F(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{q}^n / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $(R/\mathfrak{q})[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ .*

For the proof, see [4].

Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring having maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the  $J$ -radical of  $R$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{m} = \bigcap_{j=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_j$ ).

DEFINITION. We say that  $R$  is a *regular semi-local ring* if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by a system of parameters of  $R$  and the dimension of every quotient ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  ( $j=1, \dots, t$ ) is equal to that of  $R$ .

The system of parameters of a regular semi-local ring which generates

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of this note.

2) We mean by the  $J$ -radical the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $R$ .

the  $J$ -radical will be called a regular system of parameters.

LEMMA 1.<sup>3)</sup> *Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring and  $q$  be a primary ideal belonging to an arbitrary maximal ideal  $p$  of  $R$ . Then  $q$  is generated by a finite number of elements such that none of them is in any maximal ideal different from  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p = p_1, \dots, p_t$  be maximal ideals of  $R$ . We consider the non-empty set  $Q = \{a \mid a \in q \text{ and } a \notin \bigcup_{j=2}^t p_j\}$  and let  $q'$  be the ideal generated by the set  $Q$ . Since  $R$  is a Noetherian ring,  $q'$  is generated by a finite number of elements of  $Q$ . For the proof of this lemma it is enough to show  $q \subseteq q'$ . Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element of  $q \cap p_2 \cap \dots \cap p_t$  and let  $b$  be an element of  $Q$ . Then  $a + b \in Q$ , whence  $a = (a + b) - b \in q'$ . Thus  $q \cap p_2 \cap \dots \cap p_t \subseteq q'$ . Since  $q' \not\subseteq p_j$  ( $j \geq 2$ ), we see that  $p$  is the unique prime ideal containing  $q'$ . Therefore  $q'$  is  $p$ -primary. Since  $q \cap p_t \cap \dots \cap q \subseteq q'$ , we have  $qR_p = (q \cap p_2 \cap \dots \cap p_t)R_p \subseteq q'R_p$ . Therefore we have  $q \subseteq q'$ .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring and  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  be maximal ideals of  $R$ . Then  $R$  is a regular semi-local ring if and only if all the quotient rings  $R_{p_1}, \dots, R_{p_t}$  are regular local rings having the same dimension.*

*Proof.* The if part: Since  $R_{p_j}$  is a regular local ring of dimension  $d$  (where  $d = \dim R$ ), by Lemma 1 there exist  $d$  elements  $a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_d^{(j)}$  in  $p_j$  such that none of them is in any maximal ideal different from  $p_j$  and such that  $p_j R_{p_j} = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i^{(j)} R_{p_j}$ . Let  $\alpha_j$  be the ideal which is generated by these  $d$  elements. Since  $\alpha_j \not\subseteq p_k$  ( $k \neq j$ ), all of the prime divisors of  $\alpha_j$  are contained in  $p_j$ . Hence we have  $p_j = \alpha_j$  for every  $j$ . Considering the  $d$  elements  $a_i = \prod_{j=1}^t a_i^{(j)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, d$ ) and the ideal  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^d R a_i$ , we have  $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\alpha R_{p_j} = \mathfrak{m} R_{p_j}$  for every  $j$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the  $J$ -radical of  $R$ . Therefore we have  $\alpha = \mathfrak{m}$ .

The only if part follows immediately from the definition of a regular semi-local ring.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $R$  be a regular semi-local ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  the  $J$ -radical. Then  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = l(R/\mathfrak{m})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  be maximal ideals of  $R$ . Since

$$R/\mathfrak{m}^n \cong (R_{p_1}/p_1^n R_{p_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus (R_{p_t}/p_t^n R_{p_t}) \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

and since  $\dim R_{p_j} = \dim R$  for every  $j$ , we have  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^t e(p_j R_{p_j}) = t$ . On the other hand,  $l(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^t l(R/p_j) = t$  since  $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong (R/p_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (R/p_t)$ . Q.E.D.

3) This lemma and Proposition 2 have already been obtained by S. Endo (cf. [1]).

THEOREM 1. Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  be maximal ideals and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the  $J$ -radical. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $R$  is a regular semi-local ring.
- (b) The form ring  $F(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  is a polynomial ring in  $d$  variables.
- (c) The maximum number of linearly independent elements of the  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -module  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is equal to  $d$  and the dimension of every local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  ( $j=1, \dots, t$ ) is equal to  $d$ .

Proof. That (a) implies (b) follows directly from Proposition 1 and Lemma 2. Assume next that (b) is true and we want to show that (c) is true. The first part of (c) is evident. And hence there are  $d$  elements  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^d R x_i + \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Since  $R$  is a Zariski ring with respect to the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -topology, we have  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^d R x_i$ . This shows that the system  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  is a system of parameters of  $R$ . Applying this and the condition (b) to Proposition 1, we have  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = l(R/\mathfrak{m}) = t$ . We may assume that  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = \dim R$  if and only if  $j \leq s$ . Then  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^s e(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^d R x_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = \mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  and  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = d$  for  $j=1, \dots, s$ , each of these  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  is a regular local ring. Hence  $e(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) = 1$  for  $j=1, \dots, s$  and therefore we have  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = s$ . This shows  $s = t$ . That (c) implies (a) is included in the proof above given.

COROLLARY. Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring,  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the  $J$ -radical and  $\hat{R}$  be the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . Then  $\hat{R}$  is a semi-local ring having the same dimension of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$  is the  $J$ -radical of  $\hat{R}$ . Furthermore  $R$  is a regular semi-local ring if and only if  $\hat{R}$  is so.

The first part of this corollary is well known (see [5]). Since the form ring of  $R$  and that of  $\hat{R}$  are the same (see [5]), the second part follows immediately from Theorem 1.

## 2. Unmixed semi-local rings

The properties of unmixed local rings were studied by M. Nagata (cf. [3]). In this paragraph we show some results which are directly followed from Nagata's results and apply these to regular semi-local rings.

Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the  $J$ -radical. We denote by  $\hat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ .

DEFINITION We say that a semi-local ring  $R$  is *unmixed* if  $\dim \hat{R}/\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R$  for any prime divisor  $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$  of zero in  $\hat{R}$ .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $R$  be an unmixed semi-local ring. Then we have  $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} + \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ .*

For the proof, see [3].<sup>4)</sup>

PROPOSITION 4. *If a semi-local ring  $R$  is unmixed, then for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  the quotient ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is also unmixed.*

*Proof.* In the local case, this proposition is true (see [3]). If  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}'$  are arbitrary prime ideals such that  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{q}'})_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}'}}$ . Therefore we may assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  be maximal ideals of  $R$  and  $R_j$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ -adic completion of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ . Then  $\hat{R} = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$  (direct sum). Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  be any prime divisor of zero in  $R_1$ . We consider the prime ideal  $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$  of  $\hat{R}$ . Since  $(0) : \mathfrak{q}_1 \neq (0)$  in  $R_1$ , we have  $(0) : \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \neq (0)$  in  $\hat{R}$ , which shows that  $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a prime divisor of zero in  $\hat{R}$  (because  $R$  is unmixed). Let  $e_1, \dots, e_t$  be the orthogonal idempotents corresponding to the decomposition  $\hat{R} = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{P}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{P}_t$  be a chain of prime ideals in  $\hat{R}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \hat{\mathfrak{p}}e_1 \subset \mathfrak{P}_1e_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{P}_te_1$  is a chain of prime ideals in  $R_1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \dim R_1 \geq \dim R_1/\mathfrak{q}_1 \\ &\geq \dim \hat{R}/\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R \geq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The following characterization of a regular local ring was given by M. Nagata (see [2]).

PROPOSITION 5. *A local ring  $R$  is a regular local ring if and only if it is of multiplicity one and unmixed.*

In the semi-local case, this is generalized as follows:

THEOREM 2. *A semi-local ring  $R$  is a regular semi-local ring if and only if the multiplicity of  $R$  is equal to the number of maximal ideals of  $R$  and  $R$  is unmixed.*

*Proof.* The if part: Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  be maximal ideals of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the  $J$ -radical. By Proposition 3 the equality  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = \dim R$  holds for every  $j$ . Then we have  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^t e(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$ , whence the multiplicity of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  is one. On the other hand,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  is unmixed by Proposition 4. Therefore  $R$  is a regular semi-local ring by Proposition 5 and 2.

The only if part: The equality  $e(\mathfrak{m}) = l(R/\mathfrak{m})$  has already seen in Lemma 2. This shows that  $e(\mathfrak{m})$  is equal to the number of maximal ideals. For the proof of the unmixedness, by the definition of unmixedness and by

4) In [3] M. Nagata has proved this proposition in the quasi-unmixed case which is a weaker condition than the unmixedness.

Corollary to Theorem 1 we may assume that  $R$  is complete. Let  $\mathfrak{p}'$  be an arbitrary prime divisor of zero in  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any maximal ideal such that  $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integral domain (because  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular local ring), we have  $\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$ . Hence  $(R/\mathfrak{p}')_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}'}$  is isomorphic to  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore, since  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}=\dim R$ , we have  $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}'=\dim R$ . Thus the proof is completed.

#### Bibliography

- [1] S. Endo, On regular rings, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 11 No. 3 (1959), pp. 159—170.
- [2] M. Nagata, The theory of multiplicity in general local rings, Proc. Intern. Symposium Tokyo-Nikko (1955), pp. 191—226.
- [3] M. Nagata, On the chain problem of prime ideals, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 10 (1956), pp. 51—63.
- [4] P. Samuel, Algèbre locale, Mémoires Scien. Math., No. 123 (1953).
- [5] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative algebra Vol. II, Van Nostrand, Princeton (1960).