

ON GROUPS OF SPECIAL MOTIONS IN A SUBSPACE OF A RIEMANNIAN SPACE

By

Yoshihiro ICHIJYO

(Received September 30, 1960)

§ 1. In the first place let us consider the tangential infinitesimal point transformation

$$\bar{x}^{\lambda'} = x^{\lambda} + B_i^{\lambda} v^i dt \quad (1, 1)$$

in L_n with coordinates $x^i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ which is an n -dimensional subspace of an affinely connected m -dimensional space L_m with torsion and with coordinates $x^{\alpha} (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, where we denote by B_i^{λ} the tangent vectors $\partial x^{\lambda} / \partial x^i$.

By Prof. K. Yano [5]¹⁾, rewriting (1, 1) as

$$\bar{x}^{\lambda} = x^{\lambda}(x^i) + \frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial x^i} v^i dt = x^{\lambda}(x^i + v^i dt),$$

we may consider this transformation as a change of parameters

$$x^i \rightarrow \bar{x}^{i'} = x^i + v^i dt. \quad (1, 2)$$

Although in general the Lie differential is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} T^{\lambda \mu}_{\nu} dt = T^{\lambda \mu}_{\nu}(\bar{x}) - T^{\lambda' \mu'}_{\nu'}(x), \quad (1, 3)$$

in our case we shall define the Lie differential of composite tensors $T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(x^i)$ as

$$\mathcal{L} T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots} dt = T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(\bar{x}) - \tilde{T}^{\lambda \dots i' \dots}_{\mu \dots j' \dots}(x), \quad (1, 4)$$

where $\tilde{T}^{\lambda \dots i' \dots}_{\mu \dots j' \dots}(x)$ is parallelly displaced quantities of $T^{\lambda \dots i' \dots}_{\mu \dots j' \dots}(x)$ by (1, 1) with respect to the affine connection of L_m .

Calculating (1, 4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots} dt &= T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(x^i) + \partial_k T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(x^i) v^k dt - \tilde{T}^{\lambda \dots a \dots}_{\mu \dots b \dots}(x^i) \dots A_a^{i'} \dots A_j^b \dots^{2)} \\ &= T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(x^i) + \partial_k T^{\lambda \dots i \dots}_{\mu \dots j \dots}(x^i) v^k dt \\ &\quad - [T^{\lambda \dots a \dots}_{\mu \dots b \dots}(x^i) \dots - \Gamma_{\rho \nu}^{\lambda} T^{\nu \dots a \dots}_{\mu \dots b \dots}(x^i) B_k^{\rho} v^k dt \dots \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \Gamma_{\rho \mu}^{\nu} T^{\lambda \dots a \dots}_{\nu \dots b \dots}(x^i) B_k^{\rho} v^k dt + \dots] \\ &\quad \dots \times [\partial_a^i + \partial_a v^i dt] \times \dots \times [\partial_j^b - \partial_j v^b dt] \times \dots \end{aligned}$$

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of this paper.

2) $A_a^{i'}$ and A_j^b stand for $\frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^a}$ and $\frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x^{j'}}$ respectively.

$$= [v^k T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots ; k} - \dots - T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots a \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots} v_a^i - \dots \dots + T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots a \dots} v_j^a + \dots] dt,$$

where we put

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v_a^i &= \partial_a v^i + \Gamma_{ja}^i v^j = v_{;a}^i + 2 S_{ba}^i v^b, \\ S_{ba}^i &= \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{ba}^i - \Gamma_{ab}^i). \end{aligned} \right\} (1, 5)$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots} &= v^k T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots ; k} - \dots - T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots a \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots} v_a^i - \dots \\ &\quad \dots + T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots a \dots} v_j^a + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (1, 6)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \Gamma_{jk}^i &= \partial_j \partial_k v^i + v^h \partial_h \Gamma_{jk}^i + \Gamma_{hk}^i \partial_j v^h + \Gamma_{jh}^i \partial_k v^h - \Gamma_{jk}^h \partial_h v^i \\ &= v_{j;k}^i + R_{jkh}^i v^h. \end{aligned} \quad (1, 7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} (\delta u^k) - \delta (\mathcal{L} u^k) = (\mathcal{L} \Gamma_{ij}^k) u^j dx^i. \quad (1, 8)$$

§ 2. In this section we consider n -dimensional subspace V_n immersed in an m -dimensional Riemannian space V_m , then we have

$$S_{jk}^i = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \{\lambda_{\mu\nu}\}, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \{i_{jk}\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots} &= v^k T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots ; k} - \dots - T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots a \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots j \dots} v_a^i - \dots \\ &\quad \dots + T^{\lambda \dots \lambda \dots i \dots}_{\dots \mu \dots a \dots} v_j^a + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2, 1)$$

from which

$$\mathcal{L} g_{ij} = v_{j;i} + v_{i;j}, \quad (2, 2)$$

$$\mathcal{L} B_i^\lambda = v^k H_{ik}^{\lambda} + v_{;i}^\alpha B_\alpha^\lambda, \quad (2, 3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} H_{ij}^{\lambda} = H_{ij;k}^{\lambda} v^k + H_{\alpha j}^{\lambda} v_{;i}^\alpha + H_{i\alpha}^{\lambda} v_{;j}^\alpha, \quad (2, 4)$$

hence, we see these results coincide with Yano's [5] and Hlavatý's [4], where we denote by H_{ij}^{λ} Euler-Schouten's tensor, that is,

$$H_{ij}^{\lambda} = B_{i;j}^\lambda.$$

Now we attach to any point x^i of V_n in V_m a frame $(B_i^\lambda, B_P^\lambda)$ ($P = n+1, n+2, \dots, m$) in such a way that B_i^λ are n tangent vectors of V_n and $m-n$ vectors B_P^λ are orthogonal to V_n and mutually orthogonal, then we have

$$H_{ij}^{\lambda} = \sum_P H_{ijP} B_P^\lambda,$$

$$\mathcal{L} B_P^\lambda = v^k B_{P;k}^\lambda.$$

By Weingarten's formula

$$B_{P;k}^{\cdot\lambda} = -g^{ij} H_{jkP} B_i^{\cdot\lambda} + \sum_Q L_{PQ|k} B_Q^{\cdot\lambda},$$

where we put

$$L_{PQ|k} = g_{\alpha\beta} B_P^{\cdot\alpha} B_{Q,k}^{\cdot\beta} + [\gamma\delta, \beta] B_k^{\cdot\gamma} B_Q^{\cdot\delta} B_P^{\cdot\beta},$$

we obtain

$$\mathfrak{L} B_P^{\cdot\lambda} = v^k [-g^{ij} H_{jkP} B_i^{\cdot\lambda} + \sum_Q L_{PQ|k} B_Q^{\cdot\lambda}], \quad (2, 5)$$

$$\mathfrak{L} H_{ijP} = H_{ijP;k} v^k + H_{k jP} v^k_{;i} + H_{ikP} v^k_{;j}. \quad (2, 6)$$

As in general, we call a point transformation of V_n in V_m a *motion* if it satisfies

$$\mathfrak{L} g_{ij} = 0. \quad (2, 7)$$

§ 3. In this section we consider the case $m = n + 1$, then we have

$$L_{PQ|k} = 0, \quad B_P^{\cdot\alpha} = B_{n+1}^{\cdot\alpha},$$

from which we can put

$$B_{n+1}^{\cdot\alpha} = \xi^{\alpha}, \quad H_{ij n+1} = H_{ij}.$$

Now we call a motion an *absolute motion* if it satisfies

$$\mathfrak{L} H_{ij} = 0. \quad (3, 1)$$

Then the condition that a point transformation (1, 1) is an absolute motion is given as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v_{i;j} + v_{j;i} &= 0, \\ v^k H_{ij;k} + H_{kj} v^k_{;i} + H_{ik} v^k_{;j} &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (3, 2)$$

By multiplying g^{ij} to the second equation, summing up with i and j and using the first equation, we get

$$v^k \partial_k H = 0, \quad (3, 3)$$

where we put

$$H = \frac{1}{n} g^{ij} H_{ij}.$$

Thus we have

Theorem 3. 1. *If an infinitesimal tangential point transformation in a V_n immersed in a V_{n+1} is an absolute motion, then the vector $\rho_i = \partial_i H$ must be orthogonal to the Killing vector v_i .*

As a motion in V_n in V_{n+1} is, however, a motion in a Riemannian space V_n , we consider a group of motions of order r which is generated by those r Killing vectors v^k ($a=1, 2, \dots, r$), if V_n admits such a group of motions.

Now let us consider a group of motions which satisfy (3, 1) and call it a

group of absolute motions. Therefore if V_n admits a group of absolute motions and the rank of (v^i_a) is n , then we get

$$H = \text{const.}$$

Hence we have

Theorem 3. 2. *If a V_n in a V_{n+1} admits a group of absolute motions of order r ($r \geq n$) and the rank of the matrix (v^i_a) is n , then the V_n must be a hypersurface with constant mean curvature in V_{n+1} .*

§ 4. Here we consider the order r of a group G_r of absolute motions of V_n in V_{n+1} .

Since G_r must be a group of motions in V_n , we get

$$r \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

If the equality holds, V_n is a space of constant curvature. In this case it is clear that $G_{n(n+1)/2}$ is a group of absolute motions if V_n is either a completely totally umbilical or a totally geodesic hypersurface in V_{n+1} . Hence we have

Theorem 4. 1. *In the case where a V_n is a space of constant curvature and either a completely totally umbilical or a totally geodesic hypersurface in a V_{n+1} , then V_n admits a group of absolute motions of the maximum order $n(n+1)/2$.*

Now applying the analogous method of proof of Egorov's theorem [2] [7], we have

Theorem 4. 2. *The maximum order of the group of absolute motions in those V_n 's which are immersed in V_{n+1} and are neither completely totally umbilical nor totally geodesic is less than or equals to $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + 1$.*

And again we have

Theorem 4. 3. *If the V_n in V_{n+1} admits a group of absolute motions of order greater than $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + 1$, then the V_n is either a completely totally umbilical or a totally geodesic hypersurface in V_{n+1} .*

In fact, since absolute motions are motions, if the operator $\mathfrak{L}_v f$ is that of an absolute motion, we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_v g_{ij} = v_{i;j} + v_{j;i} = 0, \quad (4, 1)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_v H_{ij} = v^k H_{ij;k} + H_{kj} v^k_{;i} + H_{ik} v^k_{;j} = 0. \quad (4, 2)$$

Using (4, 1) we can write (4, 2) also in the form¹⁾

1) Here we have used a new kind of indices P_α, P_β also running 1 to n which we assume that $P_\alpha \neq P_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and that the summation convention does not hold.

$$\mathcal{L}_v H_{ij} = v^k H_{ij;k} + \sum_{P_\alpha > P_\beta}^{1 \dots n} T_{ij}^{P_\alpha P_\beta} v_{P_\alpha; P_\beta} = 0, \quad (4, 3)$$

where

$$T_{ij}^{P_\alpha P_\beta} = 4 \delta_{[i}^{[P_\alpha} H_{j]}^{P_\beta]}, \quad H_j^k = g^{kl} H_{jl}. \quad (4, 4)$$

Now we proceed the calculation by such a method as Prof. Egorov's. The $(P_\alpha P_\beta)$ rank, or $(i j)$ rank, of the matrix $(T_{ij}^{P_\alpha P_\beta})^2$ ($i \leq j$, $P_\alpha < P_\beta$) must be less than

$$\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) - \left(\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) + 1 \right) = n-1,$$

and we have

$$\begin{cases} H_{P_\alpha}^{P_\beta} = 0, \\ H_1^1 = H_2^2 = \dots = H_n^n \equiv \rho, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$H_i^j = \delta_i^j \rho,$$

from which

$$H_{ij} = \rho g_{ij}.$$

Putting this into (4, 2) we have

$$v^k \rho_k g_{ij} + \rho g_{kj} v^k_{;i} + \rho g_{ik} v^k_{;j} = 0,$$

from which by using (4, 1) we have

$$v^k \rho_k = 0.$$

Since this equation must hold for all vectors v_a^k

$$(a=1, 2, \dots, r; \quad r < \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) + 1)$$

which are generating vectors of G_r ,

$$v_a^k \rho_k = 0.$$

The rank of (v_a^k) being n ,

$$\rho = \text{const.}$$

Hence the V_n in V_{n+1} must be a completely totally umbilical or a totally geodesic in V_{n+1} .

Moreover from these theorems we have

Theorem 4.4. *The necessary and sufficient condition that a V_n in V_{n+1} admits a group of absolute motions of order $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ is that the V_n is a space of*

2) We have considered here the matrix $T_{ij}^{P_\alpha P_\beta}$ by letting the two lower indices denote the rows and the two upper indices the columns, and we have called the rank of this matrix $(P_\alpha P_\beta)$ rank or $(i j)$ rank.

constant curvature and either a completely totally umbilical or a totally geodesic hypersurface in V_{n+1} .

§ 5. Again in this section we consider the order of a group of absolute motions by a similar method of Egorov's [3].

The conditions

$$\mathfrak{L}_v H_{ij} = 0 \quad (5, 1)$$

can be written as

$$\mathfrak{L}_v H_{ij} = v^a H_{ij;a} + T_{ija}^{\dots b} v^a_{;b},$$

where we put

$$T_{ija}^{\dots b} = \delta_j^b H_{ia} + \delta_i^b H_{aj}. \quad (5, 2)$$

From which

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ija}^{\dots b} v^a_{;b} &= T_{ijab} v^{a;b} = \sum_{p < q}^{1 \dots n} 2 T_{ij[pq]} v^{p;q} \\ &= \sum_{p < q}^{1 \dots n} S_{ijpq} v^{p;q}, \end{aligned} \quad (5, 3)$$

where we put

$$2 T_{ij[pq]} = S_{ijpq}. \quad (5, 4)$$

Hence (5, 1) gives

$$v^a H_{ij;a} + \sum_{p < q}^{1 \dots n} S_{ijpq} v^{p;q}. \quad (5, 5)$$

Now we consider the (i, j) rank of the matrix (S_{ijpq}) , where

$$S_{ijpq} = g_{qj} H_{ip} + g_{qi} H_{pj} - g_{pj} H_{iq} - g_{pi} H_{qj}. \quad (5, 6)$$

Without loss of generality we may consider the case $i \leq j$, since

$$S_{ijpq} = S_{jipq}.$$

Here we suppose that the rank of matrix (H_{ij}) is l and determinant equation

$$|H_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij}| = 0$$

has only simple roots $\lambda = H_{(1)}, H_{(2)}, \dots, H_{(l)}$ except $\lambda = 0$. Then for convenience we choose the coordinate system in such a way that the lines of curvatures passing through an arbitrary point in V_n in V_{n+1} are parameter curves of V_n — if $l < n$, we can take as n parameter curves l lines of curvatures determined by the above $H_{(p)}$ ($1 \leq p \leq l$) and $n - l$ lines of curvatures which are orthogonal mutually and also to the former lines of curvatures since the lines of curvatures are indeterminate in V_{n-l} where $\lambda = 0$ [1].

From our assumption

$$H_{(p)} \neq H_{(q)} \text{ for } p \neq q. \quad (5, 7)$$

Moreover we put $H_{(j)}$ as follows :

if $1 \leq j \leq l$, the $H_{(j)}$ are solutions of the proper equation, if $l < j \leq n$, then $H_{(j)}$ vanish.

Then we have

$$g_{ij} \stackrel{*}{=} \delta_{ij}, \quad H_{ij} \stackrel{*}{=} \delta_{ij} H_{(j)}, \quad (5, 8)$$

from which

$$S_{ijpq} \stackrel{*}{=} \delta_{qj} \delta_{pi} H_{(i)} + \delta_{qi} \delta_{pj} H_{(j)} - \delta_{pj} \delta_{qi} H_{(i)} - \delta_{pi} \delta_{qj} H_{(j)}. \quad (5, 9)$$

After some calculations we obtain that

$$S_{ijij} \stackrel{*}{=} H_{(i)} - H_{(j)}, \quad S_{ijji} \stackrel{*}{=} H_{(j)} - H_{(i)},$$

and the other components of S_{ijpq} all vanish.

Then the nonvanishing columns and rows of the matrix (S_{ijpq}) ($i \leq j$) are

| $(p \ q)$ | (1 2) | (1 3) | | (1 l) | (2 3) | | (2 l) | (3 4) | | (l-1, l) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| $(i \ j)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1 2) | $H_{(1)} - H_{(2)}$ | 0 | | | | | | | | 0 |
| (1 3) | 0 | $H_{(1)} - H_{(3)}$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1 l) | | | | $H_{(1)} - H_{(l)}$ | 0 | | | | | |
| (2 3) | | | | 0 | $H_{(2)} - H_{(3)}$ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2 l) | | | | | | $H_{(2)} - H_{(l)}$ | 0 | | | |
| (3 4) | | | | | | 0 | $H_{(3)} - H_{(4)}$ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (l-1, l) | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | $H_{(l-1)} - H_{(l)}$ |

Hence in our assumption $v^{a;b}$ must satisfy the conditions more than $l(l-1)/2$ adding to Killing's conditions. Thus we obtain

Theorem 5.1. *Let a V_n in V_{n+1} admit a group of absolute motions, the rank of (H_{ij}) be l , and the equation $|H_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij}| = 0$ have only l non-vanishing simple roots in every point of V_n , then*

$$r \leq \frac{1}{2} (n+l)(n-l+1) \quad .$$

From which we have

Theorem 5.2. *When a V_n in V_{n+1} admits a group of absolute motions, n principal directions are determinate and the n principal curvatures $H_{(i)}$ are not equal each other in every point of V_n , then*

$$r \leq n.$$

References

- [1] L. P. Eisenhardt : Riemannian Geometry, *Princeton Univ. Press* (1949).
- [2] P. Egorov : On a strengthening of Fubini's theorem on the order of the group of motions of a Riemannian space, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)* 66 (1949), 793—796.
- [3] P. Egorov : A tensor characterisation of A_n of nonzero curvature with maximum mobility, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)* 84 (1952), 209—212.
- [4] V. Hlavatý : Deformation theory of subspaces in a Riemannian space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (1950), 600—617.
- [5] K. Yano : Sur la deformation infinitesimale tangentielle d'un sous-espace. *Proc. Imp. Acad.* 21 (1945), 261—268.
- [6] K. Yano : On n -dimensional Riemannian spaces admitting a group of motions of order $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)+1$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1953), 260—279.
- [7] K. Yano : The Theory of Lie Derivatives and its Applications. *North-Holland Publishing Co.* Amsterdam (1957).