

# NOTES ON GENERAL ANALYSIS (VII)

By

Isae SHIMODA

(Received September 30, 1958)

In this note, a theorem of complex valued functions is extended to the case of complex Banach spaces. Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be complex Banach spaces.

**Theorem.** *Let the family of functions  $\{f(x)\}$  from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  satisfy following conditions: (1) each function  $f(x)$  is analytic in  $\|x\| < 1$  in  $E_1$  and is a one-to-one mapping to a domain  $D_f$  in  $E_2$  and its inverse function  $f^{-1}(x)$  is also analytic in  $D_f$ , (2)  $\{f(x)\}$  are bounded, that is,  $\|f(x)\| \leq M$ , (3) the norms of linear parts  $\{g_1(x)\}$  of  $\{f^{-1}(x)\}$  are bounded, that is,  $\|g_1\| \leq K$ , (4)  $f(\theta) = \theta$ , then each domain  $D_f$  includes the sphere whose radius is constant.*

**Proof.** Since  $f(\theta) = \theta$ , we have

$$f^{-1}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \text{ and } f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x),$$

where  $f_n(x)$  and  $g_n(x)$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$ , for  $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f^{-1}(f(x)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(f(x)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_1(f_n(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n(f(x)) \\ &= g_1(f_1(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_1(f_n(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n(f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

For an arbitrarily fixed  $x$  and an arbitrary complex number  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\alpha x = \alpha g_1(f_1(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^n g_1(f_n(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^n g_n(f_1(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^{n-1} f_n(x).$$

Dividing each terms by  $\alpha$ ,

$$x = g_1(f_1(x)) + \alpha \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^{n-2} g_1(f_n(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^{n-2} g_n(f_1(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha^{n-1} f_n(x) \right\}.$$

Put  $\alpha=0$  and we have  $x=g_1(f_1(x))$ . Since  $x$  is arbitrary,  $g_1(x)$  is an inverse function of  $f_1(x)$ . That is,  $f_1(x)$  has the continuous inverse function  $g_1(x)$ .

Since  $\|x\| = \|g_1(f_1(x))\| \leq \|g_1\| \cdot \|f_1(x)\|$ , we have

$$\|f_1(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{\|g_1\|} \|x\| \geq \frac{1}{K} \|x\|,$$

from the assumption (3).

On the other hand,  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\alpha x)}{\alpha^{n+1}} d\alpha$ ,  $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , where  $C$  is a circle

with radius  $r$  satisfying  $r||x|| \leq \delta < 1$  and  $r > 1$ .

From the assumption (2),  $||f(\alpha x)|| \leq M$ , when  $||\alpha x|| \leq \delta$ .

Thus we see that  $||f_n(x)|| \leq \frac{M}{r^n}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Then  $||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x)|| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{M}{r^n} = \frac{M}{r(r-1)}$ .

Taking a positive number  $\delta_1$  such that

$$0 < \delta_1 < \delta < 1, \quad r\delta_1 = \delta \text{ and } KM\delta_1^2 < \delta^3(\delta - \delta_1),$$

we have

$$\frac{M}{r(r-1)} = \frac{M\delta_1}{\delta(\delta - \delta_1)} < \frac{\delta^2}{K}.$$

For an arbitrary  $y$  in  $||y|| \leq \delta$ , there exist  $x$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $y = \alpha x$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq r$ ,  $||x|| = \delta_1$  and  $||\alpha x|| \leq \delta$ .

$$||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha x)|| = |\alpha|^2 \cdot ||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x) \alpha^{n-2}||,$$

then  $\frac{||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha x)||}{|\alpha|^2} = ||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x) \alpha^{n-2}||$ .

Since  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x) \alpha^{n-2}$  is an analytic function of  $\alpha$ , its norm takes the maximum on the boundary  $|\alpha| = r$  and so we have

$$\frac{||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha x)||}{|\alpha|^2} \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{M}{r(r-1)}.$$

Putting  $\alpha x = y$ ,  $||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(y)|| \leq \frac{M}{r^2 \cdot r(r-1)} |\alpha|^2 \leq \frac{M}{r(r-1)} \cdot \frac{||y||^2}{r^2 ||x||^2} = \frac{M}{r(r-1)\delta^2} ||y||^2$ .

Thus we see that  $||f(x)|| \geq ||f_1(x)|| - ||\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x)||$   
 $\geq \frac{1}{K} ||x|| - \frac{M}{r(r-1)\delta^2} ||x||^2,$

for  $||x|| \leq \delta_1$ . Letting  $||x|| = \delta_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(x)|| &\geq \frac{\delta_1}{K} - \frac{M}{r(r-1)\delta^2} \cdot \delta_1^2 \\ &= \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{K} - \frac{M\delta_1}{r(r-1)\delta^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is a positive number, since  $0 < \delta_1 < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{K} > \frac{M}{r(r-1)\delta^2} > \frac{M\delta_1}{r(r-1)\delta^2}$ . Put  $\delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{K} - \frac{M\delta_1}{r(r-1)\delta^2} \right) = \rho$ , then we see that

$$D_f \supset U(\rho),$$

which is the sphere with radius  $\rho$ . This completes the proof.